

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ANTHONY FESTA,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

BRIAN SANDOVAL, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:17-cv-00850-APG-NJK

## Order

[Docket No. 84]

14 Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to extend the deadline to respond to the  
15 operative complaint by 45 days. Docket No. 84. The motion is predicated almost entirely on the  
16 fact that counsel is unable to meet the current deadlines because of his work commitments in other  
17 cases. *See* Docket No. 84-1 at ¶¶ 2-4. The Court is cognizant of the difficulties in managing  
18 competing workload demands. Nonetheless, having an attorney take on more work than he can  
19 handle is not good cause for an extension. *See, e.g., Olesczuk v. Citizens One Home Loans*, 2016  
20 U.S. Dist. Lexis 153342, at \*6 n.3 (D. Nev. Nov. 4, 2016) (citing *Greene v. Alhambra Hosp. Med.*  
21 *Ctr.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. Lexis 72697, at \*3 (D. Nev. June 3, 2015)). As a one-time courtesy, the  
22 Court will provide a 21-day extension to the deadline to respond to the operative complaint.  
23 Accordingly, the motion to extend is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part, and the deadline  
24 to respond to the complaint is extended to August 19, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 26, 2019

~~Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge~~